

This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from
the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Fiscal Policy and Management in East Asia,
NBER-EASE, Volume 16

Volume Author/Editor: Takatoshi Ito and Andrew K. Rose,
editors

Volume Publisher: University of Chicago Press

Volume ISBN: 978-0-226-38681-2

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/ito_07-1

Conference Date: June 23-25, 2005

Publication Date: October 2007

Title: Comment on "Australian Government Balance Sheet
Management"

Author: Youngsun Koh

URL: <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c0892>

- government borrowing: Forecast and analysis*. Stockholm: Swedish National Debt Office.
- Hansen, E. 2003. *Objectives, targets and instruments for crown financial policy*. New Zealand Treasury working paper 2003/21.
- Hawkesby, C., and J. Wright. 1997. The optimal public debt portfolios for nine OECD countries: A tax smoothing approach. Mimeo. New Zealand: University of Canterbury.
- Hörrngren, L. 2003. *Contingent liabilities in debt management*. Paper for the Working Party on Government Debt Management, Ministère de l'Économie, des Finances et de l'Industrie, 139 rue de Bercy, Paris 75012 (23-24 October).
- International Monetary Fund. 2001. *Government finance statistics manual 2001*. Retrieved from <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf>.
- . 2003. *Public debt in emerging markets*. World Economic Outlook September 2003. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- Karolyi, A., and R. Stulz. 2002. *Are financial assets priced locally or globally?* NBER Working Paper 8994. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Kharas, H., and D. Mishra. 2001. Fiscal policy, hidden deficits, and currency crises. In *World Bank Economists' Forum*, ed. S. Devarajan, F. H. Rogers, and L. Squire, 31–48. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Lucas, R. E. 1976. Econometric policy evaluation: A critique. *Journal of Monetary Economics* Supplementary Series 1(2): 19–46.
- Meese, R., and K. Rogoff. 1983. Empirical exchange rate models of the seventies: Do they fit out of sample? *Journal of Monetary Economics* 14:3–24.
- Missale, A. 1997. Managing the public debt: The optimal taxation approach. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 11 (3): 235–65.
- . 1999. *Public debt management*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
- Pinfield, C. 1998. *Tax smoothing and expenditure creep*. Treasury Working Paper 98/9. New Zealand.
- Schick, A. 2002. Budgeting for fiscal risk. In *Government at risk*, ed. H. Polackova Brixi and A. Schick, 79–98. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank.
- Stiglitz, J. 1983. On the relevance or irrelevance of public financial policy. NBER Working Paper No. 1057. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- World Bank. 1993. *East Asian miracle: Economic growth and public policy*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Wheeler, G. 2004. *Sound practice in government debt management*. Washington, DC: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank.

Comment Youngsun Koh

This paper discusses a framework for optimal balance sheet management from the tax-smoothing perspective and concludes that the Future Fund

Youngsun Koh is senior research fellow, director of Macroeconomic and Financial Policies, and head of Programs Evaluation Division at the Korea Development Institute.

of the Australian government should be invested in a broad range of financial assets that includes nominal domestic debts and foreign equities. The optimal portfolios derived through numerical exercises are not sufficiently credible in quantitative terms, but they give strong qualitative implications that are based on a sound economic reasoning and match our intuitions.

Korea is another country that is accumulating a large amount of government assets. The National Pension Scheme (NPS) that was introduced in 1988 requires a minimum 10 years of contribution for full old-age pension benefits. For now, there exists a far larger number of contributors than beneficiaries, and the annual surplus amounts to 3 to 4 percent of GDP. At its peak, the stock of assets is expected to exceed 100 percent of GDP, and then fall as benefit payments increase rapidly.

The NPS fund is invested mostly in domestic fixed-income assets, and none in foreign assets. There are talks of increasing the share of equities, and also of investing in foreign assets. Without such diversification, NPS is likely to have distortionary effects on domestic financial markets. The framework suggested in the paper provides a good starting point for the diversification of NPS assets. It would be interesting to check whether a similar numerical exercise leads to a similar optimal portfolio including nominal domestic debts and foreign equities.

Still, this approach will entail several problems when applied to the Korean NPS. Most serious is the rapidly changing economic environment in Korea. The annual growth rate, recorded at over 8 percent in past decades, declined to around 5 percent after the recent economic crisis, and further deceleration is expected in the future with the rapid population aging and the technological catch-up with advanced countries. It would be very naïve to believe that the variance-covariance matrix remains unchanged in this changing environment.

An equally important question concerns the parameter endogeneity (not policy endogeneity as discussed in the paper). Due to its very large volume, the portfolio selection of NPS can affect the market returns and the variance-covariance matrix; NPS may not be a price-taker but a price-setter. Similarly, its foreign investment can affect the exchange rate and the rate of return in terms of domestic currencies.

Despite these limitations, however, the framework suggested in the paper provides a very useful benchmark on which modifications can be made to reflect the realities more closely. Future works in this direction are called for.